

## Support to green water financing: landscape, agriculture, domestic

Prepared by: Charbel Rizk. Team Lead Civic Engagement. Lebanon Water Project. Funded by USAID

February 5, 2019

#### The Lebanon Water Project

• Funded by USAID: 65 million dollars

• Time frame: 2015 to 2020

#### The Lebanon Water Project

- Result A: Improve service delivery and resource management by the five public water utilities that are mandated by law to provide water, wastewater, and irrigation management services in Lebanon, and by local municipalities where feasible and appropriate.
- **Result B: Improve civic engagement in water management** and advance citizen perception of the water utilities role in providing a necessary and valuable service.
- Result C: Improve private sector involvement in water management

### History and context of green financing

- Workshops at Central Bank of Lebanon on Green Technologies by an EU project – 2009
- Development of the Green Circular 83 by Central Bank 2010
- Creation of the Lebanese Centre for Energy Conservation to finance green energy technology and energy conservation - 2011

## The Lebanese Center for Energy Conservation (LCEC)

- Partnered with the Central Bank of Lebanon to promote energy conservation at the beginning through NEEREA
- LWP Partnered with BDL and LCEC to promote water conservation through the development of <u>specific guidelines</u> to be applied in LEA
- Provides very soft loans, supported by BDL, for energy conservation, green energy production and water conservation

#### Impact of the creation of LCEC

- From 2 companies in green technology to more than 20 at present
- Millions of dollars in projects
- Extended currently in partnership with LWP to cover water conservation in Domestic, landscape an agriculture

#### Rational for water conservation

- We are below the poverty level in water 796 m3/ca.y (the limit is 1000 m3/ca.y)
- Agriculture consumes 70% of the water in Lebanon
- Domestic use is around 20% of the water budget
- Small savings in water for irrigation will have a high impact on the national water budget

## Rational for green financing in water conservation

- Water conservation is a main objective of the LWP project
- Drip systems increase the efficiency of irrigation and reduce energy needs, labor and input requirements
- Hydroponics recycle up to 90 % of the used water for irrigation and reduce pollution from agriculture
- Sanitary fixtures are becoming highly efficient with potential water use reductions between 15 to 50%

#### Rational for green financing in water conservation

 Cost and financing constitute barriers to the adoption of drip systems and hydroponics

 Soft financing through LEA could be the solution to a wider adoption of drip irrigation, hydroponics and efficient household fixtures

## Approach in LWP

- LWP provided financial incentives for water conservation
- LWP Partnered with the private sector to promote water conservation
- LWP partnered with BDL and LCEC under LEA to develop guidelines for water conservation using soft loans
  - Domestic guidelines
  - Landscaping guidelines
  - Agriculture guidelines
- The guidelines provided LCEC with a clear and transparent way to assess eligibility for financing

#### The Guidelines – Structure and Content

- Technical section that describes the methods of calculating water savings, pipe and pump requirements
- An administrative section that describes the requirements of BDL and LCEC to be able to process the file
- Two files are presented for each project: A financial file for the bank and a technical file to be reviewed and approved or not by LCEC

## **Required savings**

- The savings are a comparison between water consumption using surface or sprinkler irrigation with drip irrigation
- For landscaping the comparison is between hose irrigation and a modern irrigation system including drip and sprinklers. Savings should be at least 30%
- For agriculture, the comparison is between sprinklers and drip in most cases. Savings should be at least 10%

#### Loan file requirements

- The technical file should contain:
  - A description of the project
  - Types of plants
  - Calculation of water needs
  - Sizing of the emitters and pipes
  - Costing of the project based on actual proforma invoices
- LCEC will finance the pipe network and emitters but not the pump

Yes we can calculate plant water needs

Two types of calculators:

- -CropWat for agriculture
- Excel based developed specifically for the guidelines under landscaping
- -LEED V.4 for households

Landscaping and agriculture
I. Drip irrigation
2. Hydroponics

## **Drip** Irrigation

- Delivering water and nutrients to the plant root only
- Efficiency in water delivery 90% compared to 40% for flood irrigation and 75% for sprinklers
- Reduced pressure need at the pump from 4-8 bars down to 2 bars
- Improved yield quality and quantity

## Drip irrigation

• No need to move pipes and sprinklers around

• Reduces the need for fertilizers and herbicides

• Can be fully automated and remotely controlled

• All the plastic is recyclable

## **Field experiments**

In collaboration with UNIFERT a field experiment was organized in 2018 on two crops in the Bekaa

- The two crops are potato and corn.
- Most planted crops in the Bekaa and Lebanon
- Used to be irrigated with sprinklers (Most common Irrigation Practice is Sprinklers)

## Field Experiment Results - Potato

<u>RESULTS - POTATOES</u>							
DRIP AND MICROSPRINKLER IRRIGATION SYSTEMS Vs							
SPRINKLER IRRIGATION SYSTEM (CONVENTIONAL)							
				% RESULTS	% RESULTS		
IRRIGATION SYSTEM	SPRINKLER (CONVENTIONAL)	DRIP	MICROSPRINKLER	DRIP Vs SPRINKLER	MICROSPRINKLER Vs SPRINKLER		
WATER SUPPLY (m3/IRRIGATION/Du num)	805.90	685.60	740.00	-15%	-8%		
YIELD (Ton/Dunum)	1.8	2.4	2.5	33%	39%		
WATER PRODUCTIVITY (m3/Ton)	448	286	296	-36%	-34%		

## Field Experiment Results - Corn Fodder

<u>RESULTS - CORN FODDER</u>							
DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM Vs SPRINKLER IRRIGATION SYSTEM (CONVENTIONAL) CORN FODDER 120 DAYS SPECIES, SINGLE ROW 70cm, 9100 SEEDLING / Dunum							
IRRIGATION SYSTEM	SPRINKLER (CONVENTIONAL)	DRIP	% RESULTS DRIP Vs SPRINKLER				
DAYS TO HARVEST (DAY)	113	105	-7%				
WATER SUPPLY I,136.50 (m3/IRRIGATION/Dunum)		805.40	-29%				
YIELD (Ton/Dunum) 5.8		7.5	29%				
WATER PRODUCTIVITY (m3/Ton) 196		107	-45%				

## **Hydroponics**

- Plants are grown outside the soil in almost inert media under greenhouses
- Water and nutrients are delivered to the medium or in water and can be recycled up to 90%
- Production per m2 can be tripled and even more
- Quality A products up to 80%





Additional demonstrations

• Tensiometers (soil water content sensors)

• Solar pumping

• In greenhouses and grapes

Impact in greenhouses

Reduction of 50% in irrigation needs

• Reduction in insecticide application 35 sprays

• Increase in yield and improvement in quality

Impact on grapes

• Reduction in irrigation water needs; 53%

• Reduction in agriculture inputs

# Domestic



#### Household fixtures

• Simple devices can save between 20 to 50% water

• Devices include sinks, lavatories, showers and WCs

• Cost is minimal compared to water saving

• Becomes advantageous with water meters

## CONCLUSION

- Reduction in water and energy consumption, inputs and labor
- Improved yield quantity and quality
- Improved income for farmers



## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION