Sustainable Consumption and Production – Assessment for the Arab Region



Dr Cameron Allen Consultant – UNESCWA Monash University, Sustainable Development Institute UNSW Sydney, Changing Earth Institute





MONASH SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE



PROGRESS ON SUSTAINABLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION IN THE ARAB REGION (DRAFT) Comprehensive baseline assessment of regional, sob-regional and national progress and challeges for achieving SOP and the SOG SCP Assessment Report: Arab Region (2017)

- Set a baseline for the ARSSCP and SCP-related SDG indicators
- Reviewed a combination of official SDG indicators and proxy indicators – 21 indicators in total (13 official), latest data for 2013-4
- Assessed progress at regional/subregional scale trends and baseline values versus benchmarks (e.g. global average)
- Assessed national progress on a subset of 11 indicators (normalization approach)





2020 – Updated SCP Assessment for Arab Countries

Progress since 2017 assessment:

- New data available
- Many recent advances in assessing SDG progress, data collection, refining indicators etc.
- SDG Progress evaluations global, regional, national, local/city
- Academic literature peer review and evaluation of methods
- Comparison of results across assessments results differ, but no 'best' method
- New SCP assessment will use the latest data and methods
- Focus will primarily be at the national level but also subregional/regional weighted averages
- Several methodological challenges to address...

Recent SDG Progress Assessments



SDG Assessments – key considerations



Recent research shows that how you address each of the above challenges has a significant impact on the results of any assessment

Particularly 1 and 2

1. Indicator selection

Challenges:

- SDG official indicator framework is universally agreed and legitimate
- As a global framework comparability is important
- Relevance for countries/regions varies - some critical national metrics may be lacking
- Almost half official indicators are Tier II (lack data for countries without advanced official data collection systems)

Common approaches:

- Studies by the UN use the official SDG indicators
- Studies by other organizations/groups include official + proxies (e.g. SDSN, OECD, Eurostat)
- Preferably align as much as possible with official framework
- If you include proxies it's best to link them to an SDG target

2. Target Setting

Challenges:

- SDGs have limited target values
- Without targets, it's difficult to assess progress
- 2030 Agenda: countries are to define national targets guided by the global level of ambition and... national circumstances
- Target-setting is difficult and can be subjective – different levels ambition, accountability, etc.

Common approaches:

- Official SDG targets
- Existing national targets
- Other international benchmarks (e.g. WHO)
- 'Champion Area' average of top 5 performers
- Technical or historic optimums/thresholds
- Expert analysis
- % improvement on baseline

3. Methodologies

Challenges:

2030 Agenda does not provide guidance on:

- how to compute the distance to targets
- intercountry comparison
- aggregation (multiple indicators)

As a result, several methods have been developed – no 'best' method

• Static (current) and dynamic (trend)

Method selection also depends on

- Data availability e.g. time series data
- Availability of targets/thresholds
- Scale e.g. national/multi-country

Common approaches - static:

 Rescaling indicators to common units by normalization (min-max, zscore)

Common approaches – dynamic:

- Linear projection of timeseries to 2030 (e.g. % expected progress from 2015)
- Comparison of actual annual growth rate (CAGR) versus desired growth rate to reach a 2030 target

Common approaches – evaluation, aggregation

- Thresholds/categories to benchmark progress (e.g. traffic lights)
- Aggregation arithmetic mean, geometric mean

Examples – 'static' normalisation

- Allows comparison across indicators, countries and aggregation
- Based on peer group comparisons best/worst performance or standard deviation

SDSN SE)G Index – no	rmalisation
<i>x</i> ′=	$\frac{\mathbf{x} - min(x)}{max(x) - min(x)}$	* 100 (Eq.S1)

Where:

- x = data value for country x
- Min/max = best and worst values across countries

Produces a linear scale (0-100), where 100 is optimal performance

Thresholds used to construct a traffic light dashboard

OECD – 'standardised distance' (z-score)

$$MAX(\frac{T-x}{sd}, 0)$$

Where:

- T: Value of the 2030 target for each indicator
- x: current value achieved for each indicator
- *sd*: Standard deviation

Interpreted as distance from targets, in standard deviations. Can be rescaled to 0-100 for easy interpretation

Example – 'dynamic' assessment

• Improved assessment – however requires trend data, preferably LT



Proposed approach -Arab SCP Assessment

Parameters:

- Scope: All 22 Arab countries
- **Indicators**: SDG official indicators related to SCP & ARSSCP, where data is available 14 indicators
- Targets: Limited availability of targets benchmarks set based on best practice approach
- Method: Lack of trend data for many indicators static analysis using normalisation (plus trend assessment where available)
- Significant regional variation need to account for extreme outliers

Draft SCP Indicators and Targets/Benchmarks (14)

				Target/Benchmark	
	Indicator	Name	Direction	Value	Target Source
		Mortality rate attributed to unsafe water, unsafe			
	3.9.2	sanitation and lack of hygiene	Decrease	5	SDG target 3.9
	6.3.1	Proportion of wastewater safely treated	Increase	85.8	Average top 5 performers
	6.4.2	Level of water stress	Decrease	25	Technical optimum
7 AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY	7.1.1	Proportion of population with access to electricity	Increase	100	SDG target 7.1
	7.2.1	Renewable energy share in TFEC	Increase	20	SDG Index green threshold
NTV -					
9 INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE	7.3.1	Energy intensity level of primary energy	Decrease	3.5	SDG Index green threshold
	9.4.1	CO2 emission per unit of value added (GDP)	Decrease	0.25	Average developed countries
		Proportion of urban solid waste regularly collected			
	11.6.1	and with adequate final discharge	Increase	100	All waste collected/disposed
		Annual mean levels of fine particulate matter in			
	11.6.2	cities	Decrease	10	WHO guideline
12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION	12.2.1	Material footprint per capita	Decrease	12	World average
	12.2.2	Domestic material consumption per capita	Decrease	10.6	World average
		Parties meeting their commitments and -			
	12.4.1	hazardous waste, and other chemicals	Increase	100	All countries reporting
	12.c.1	Fossil-fuel pre-tax subsidies	Decrease	0.35	Average Top 5 performers
		Installed renewable energy-generating capacity in			
	12.a.1	developing countries (in watts per capita)	Increase	3870	Avg Top 5 performers

Standardisation Method and Evaluation

- Current baseline standardized using targets and z-score approach
- Trimmed and rescaled to 0-100, where 100 = target achieved, and 0 = >3 standard deviations from the target
- Interpretation assessment of 'effort required by countries to achieve targets'
- Outputs charts and dashboard
- Progress evaluation using traffic lights e.g. possible categories:
 - On Track (>90)
 - Needs Improvement (50-90)
 - Breakthrough Needed (10-50)
 - Off Track (<10)

Arab Region – Weighted Averages





Weigthed Average Progress, Maghreb Sub-Region





Weigthed Average Progress, GCC Sub-Region



Weigthed Average Progress, LDC Sub-Region



Arab Sub-Region – Weighted Averages









Progress on SCP indicators (0-100)



Progress on SCP indicators (0-100)





Progress on SCP indicators (0-100)